PRE-MEETING:

The pre-meeting began at 9:20. The board members in attendance were: Jeremy Aspen, Brian Mahlendorf, Kris Moore and Jason Lanoha. Planning Department staff in attendance were: Michelle Alfaro, Eric Englund, Cliff Todd and Clinette Ingram. Other City staff in attendance were Krista Wassenaar (Public Works) and Jennifer Taylor (Law Department).

Ms. Alfaro discussed with the board the Planning Department’s recommendation for all of the cases listed on the agenda. It was determined that Case 18-028 would not need a waiver to the rear yard setback or minimum street yard landscaping percentage. A letter of support was also submitted for this case.

Ms. Wassenaar stated that a meeting had been set up for March 14, 2018 with TD2 to discuss design items for the 78th Street project. There were concerns about the replacement retaining wall being proposed by the applicant for case 18-030 (7616 Pierce Street). Public Works intended to have the retaining wall connect with the street project. There were also plans to meet with the neighbors on the west side of the street.

Mr. Englund provided additional information for case 18-031 (1150 River Road). He explained that the site would never be developed since it is in the floodway and there is no sewer extension into that area. He stated a pre-application meeting had been held to discuss the site and that the City would not support the request. He mentioned that a campground use is permitted for the site but the applicant would need to go through the proper process for that use.

The board decided to visit 4032 Izard Street and 5707 Harney Street.

The pre-meeting ended at 10:30.

SITE VISITS:

Site visits began at 10:40. Those in attendance were Jeremy Aspen, Brian Mahlendorf, Kris Moore, Jason Lanoha, Michelle Alfaro and Cliff Todd.

At 4032 Izard Street they discussed the following: driveway location, neighborhood revitalization and the location of the deck.

At 5707 Harney Street the board discussed the ½ story addition and drove through the neighborhood to look for existing garages that were similar to what was being proposed by the applicant.

Site visits ended at 11:08.
The meeting was called to order at 1:00 p.m. Mr. Lanoha introduced the board members and staff, and explained the procedures for hearing the cases. He also noted that there were four board members present and for a case to be approved, four affirmative votes were required. He stated that any applicant could request a layover so that their case could be heard before a full board.
LAYOVER CASES:

1. Case No. 18-019 (from 2/8/18)  
   Troy and April Perchal  
   904 North 204th Avenue  
   Omaha, NE 68022  

   REQUEST: Waiver of Section 55-715 & 55-928(e) –  
   Variance to the minimum street yard landscaping depth from 10’ to 0.8’; to the  
   minimum street yard landscaping percentage from 20% to 15%; and to the  
   perimeter landscaping requirements from 15’ to 0.8’ to allow for construction of a new  
   building and parking lot.  

   LOCATION: 20324 Veterans Drive  
   ZONE: CC

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Approval in accordance with the plans submitted.

At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Joe Dethlefs (TD2) appeared before the Board on behalf of the applicant.

Mr. Dethlefs stated that the requests were made as a result of the existing conditions of the site. He explained that there was existing pavement along the east and west sides of the property along with access and sanitary sewer easements along the east side. He further explained that land was taken for right-of-way along the west side of the property which resulted in the parking stalls being located on the property line. He indicated that two stalls were being removed on the west side of the site to make room for additional landscaping.

Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, recalled that the case had been laid over to allow more time to determine what waivers were necessary. She stated that the applicant planned to construct a new building that would be located in an area designated as a Major Commercial Corridor (MCC). If approved, the waiver would reduce the street yard landscaping depth and percentages as required by the MCC Overlay. She explained that a hardship existed as a result of the existing pavement on the site that provided access to the developed lots to the north and south. The applicant did not intend to disturb the existing parking that was developed prior to the time that Elkhorn was annexed by Omaha. The Planning Department recommended approval in accordance with the plans submitted, subject to submittal of the MCC Overlay.

Mr. Aspen moved to APPROVE in accordance with the plans submitted, subject to submittal of the MCC Overlay. Mr. Mahlendorf seconded the motion.

AYES: Aspen, Mahlendorf, Moore, Lanoha  

MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
NEW CASES:

2. Case No. 18-024
   Dave and Lisa Manning
   5707 Harney Street
   Omaha, NE 68132
   REQUEST: Waiver of Section 55-166 & 55-767(c) – Variance to the minimum rear yard setback from 25’ to 4’, to the minimum interior side yard setback from 7’ to 3”; to the maximum impervious coverage from 50% to 56.49%; and to allow a home occupation in an accessory building; to allow for construction of a new 1½ story garage with an office.
   LOCATION: 5707 Harney Street
   ZONE: R3
   PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Denial.

At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Dave Manning and Paul Kelly (Architect) appeared before the Board.

Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that the applicant was proposing to replace the existing garage with a 1 ½ story structure. The homeowner worked from home and he indicated that he would not meet clients, have employees or lease the space to anyone. The finished space above the garage puts it over 750 sq. ft. The Planning Department found no hardship or practical difficulty and recommended denial.

Mr. Lanoha noted that an adjacent neighbor had requested a layover of the case so that they could have the opportunity to review the plans.

Mr. Kelly stated that his client had spoken with the neighbor. He stated that there would be no plumbing in the structure. He indicated that most of the homes in the area exceed the required amount of impervious coverage.

Mr. Lanoha mentioned that the board had visited the site and spent some time driving around the neighborhood. In response to Mr. Aspen, Mr. Manning stated that he had spoken with the neighbor to the southeast that morning to discuss his plans and that she had no objections to the project. Mr. Mahlendorf stated that he would be more comfortable supporting the request if the neighbors who would be the most impacted by the project submitted letters of support.

Mr. Mahlendorf moved to LAYOVER to give the applicant time to obtain documents of support from the adjacent neighbors and for the opportunity for the case to be heard before a full board. Mr. Lanoha seconded the motion.

AYES: Mahlendorf, Moore, Aspen, Lanoha

MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
3. Case No. 18-025
   Rob Cooksey
   Concordia Lutheran Schools of Omaha
   15656 Fort Street
   Omaha, NE 68116

   REQUEST: Waiver of Section 55-830 – Variance to the maximum sign budget for a civic use in a residential district from 32 sq. ft. to 215 sq. ft., to the maximum height for a wall sign from 16’ to 33’ and to the maximum area of a wall sign from 32 sq. ft. to 187 sq. ft. to allow for a new signage plan at Concordia School.

   LOCATION: 15656 Fort Street
   ZONE: R4

   PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Approval in accordance with the plans submitted.

   At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Ron Dobosz (Metro Sing Company) appeared before the Board on behalf of the applicant.

   Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that a hardship resulted from the residential zoning of the district that does not take into consideration the signage needs for large civic uses such as schools and churches. The new signage plan includes an existing monument sign near the Fort Street entrance and a new wall sign that will identify the school. She noted that the Planning Department has typically supported these types of requests and did not consider the request to be excessive. The Planning Department recommended approval in accordance with the plans submitted.

   Mr. Dobosz stated that the campus is over 300 acres and the building sits back 300’ from Fort Street and 500’ from the 156th Street intersection. He believed that the requested signage was necessary for the school.

   Mr. Mahlendorf moved to APPROVE in accordance with the plans submitted. Ms. Moore seconded the motion.

   AYES: Moore, Aspen, Mahlendorf, Lanoha

   MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
4. Case No. 18-026
Bruce Hansen
Great Plains Auto Body
14540 Grover Street
Omaha, NE 68144

REQUEST: Waiver of Section 55-716 – Variance to the minimum bufferyard requirement between a GC and R4(35)-PK district from 30’ with screening to 15’ with screening to allow for construction of a new building.

LOCATION: 4103 Leavenworth Street
ZONE: GC-ACI-1(PL)

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Approval in accordance with the plans submitted, subject to the applicant providing the code required screening on the east portion of the site.

At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Luke Weatherly (Ollsson Associates – 2111 South 67th Street) appeared before the Board on behalf of the applicant.

Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that City Council approved a Special Use Permit on February 6, 2018, with the condition that the necessary waivers be obtained from the Zoning Board of Appeals. The applicant planned to demolish the existing facility and construct a 17,000 sq. ft. building to operate an auto repair business. The adjacent zoning districts require a 30’ bufferyard along the south side of the site with a 6’ tall screening requirement on the east half. Since the east portion of the site is adjacent to a parking lot, the Planning Department did not consider the request to be excessive as long the required screening is provided. The Planning Department recommended approval in accordance with the plans submitted, subject to the applicant providing the code required screening on the east portion of the site.

Mr. Weatherly stated that the Charlie Graham auto body shop was being relocated to this location. The requested waivers would provide more room for trucks to maneuver the site. The applicant intended to maintain the 30’ buffer with the residential properties on the west half of the property. In response to Mr. Lanoha, Mr. Weatherly stated that the building would be constructed according to ACI guidelines.

Mr. Mahlendorf moved to APPROVE subject to the applicant providing the code required screening on the east side portion of the site. Mr. Aspen seconded the motion.

AYES: Aspen, Mahlendorf, Moore, Lanoha

MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
5. Case No. 18-027
Mark Stevens
Glad Tidings Assembly
7415 Hickory Street
Omaha, NE 68124

REQUEST:
Waiver of Section 55-830 – Variance to the maximum sign budget from 51 sq. ft. to 127.39 sq. ft.; to the maximum height of a wall sign from 16’ to 27’; and to the maximum area of a wall sign from 32 sq. ft. to 76.39 sq. ft. to allow for a new wall sign and signage plan.

LOCATION: 7415 Hickory Street
ZONE: R2

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Approval in accordance with the plans submitted.

At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Nicholas Peterson and Andye Nelson (Omaha Neon Sign Company – 1120 North 18th Street) appeared before the Board.

Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that the applicant was proposing to install an illuminated logo cabinet displaying a cross with colors on the south elevation of the building. The sign would be 76.39 sq. ft. with a height of 27’. The overall signage budget would increase from the previously approved 51 sq. ft. to 127.39 sq. ft. She noted that there is a 4’ overhang on the west side that is not counted as part of the sign area since no signage or logos are currently on the sign; however, if that changed in the future the applicant would need to obtain another waiver and submit a revised signage plan to the Zoning Board of Appeals for approval. In addition, a major amendment to their existing Conditional Use Permit would be required for the changes. She also noted that a neighbor submitted a letter of support for the request. The Planning Department recommended approval in accordance with the plans submitted.

Mr. Peterson explained that the name of the church had recently been changed and the logo had been updated.

Mr. Aspen moved to APPROVE in accordance with the plans submitted. Ms. Moore seconded the motion.

AYES: Mahlendorf, Moore, Aspen, Lanoha

MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
6. Case No. 18-028
Murray Hayes
EGAD, LLC
4104 Lafayette Avenue
Omaha, NE 68131

REQUEST: Waiver of Section 55-246 & 55-715 – Variance to the minimum street yard setback from 15’ to 11.8’; to the rear yard setback from 25’ to 5’; to the minimum street yard landscaping depth from 10’ to 0’; and to the minimum street yard landscaping percentage from 50% to 54% to allow for construction of a deck, additions, and a 28’ x 40’ detached garage.

LOCATION: 4032 Izard Street
ZONE: R7

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Denial.

At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Murray Hayes appeared before the Board.

Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that the applicant was proposing to construct a new garage, additions, deck and a new driveway that would exceed the limitations of the R7 zoning district. The Planning Department found no hardship or practical difficulty to support the request and recommended denial. She noted that the Planning Department received a letter of support for this request.

Mr. Hayes explained that the home had been divided into 4 separate apartments. He intended to convert it back into a single-family home. The garage would be located on 41st Street. He explained that the proposed location of the garage keeps it even with the home and deck. He indicated that the impervious coverage would remain at 47%. He further indicated that one portion of the driveway would not meet the landscaping requirements.

Mr. Mahlendorf expressed concern about the amount of concrete proposed for the front of the home. Mr. Hayes responded that, due to the parking needs of nearby properties, there was no available on-street parking. He explained that the circle driveway would provide better access to the home since the proposed garage would be situated below the main level of the house.

Mr. Lanoha stated that he could not support the waiver to the landscaping depth since he did not believe that the circular driveway was appropriate for the neighborhood. Mr. Hayes decided to withdraw his request for a variance to the landscaping depth.

Mr. Aspen moved to APPROVE the variance to the minimum street yard setback from 15’ to 11.8’ to allow for construction of a deck, additions and a 28’ x 40’ detached garage subject to the structure being converted to a single-family home. Mr. Lanoha seconded the motion.

AYES: Moore, Aspen, Mahlendorf, Lanoha

MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
7. Case No. 18-029  
Stephen R. McConnell  
3515 South 30th Avenue  
Omaha, NE 68105  

REQUEST: Waiver of Section 55-503 – Variance to the permitted use regulations of the GI district to allow single-family residential not otherwise permitted.  

LOCATION: 3505/3507/3512/3515/3524 South 30th Avenue, 3512/3571 South 31st Street and 3104 A Street  

ZONE: GI  

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Approval in accordance with the plans submitted.  

At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Stephen McConnell appeared before the Board.  

Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that the applicant was requesting use waivers for the existing single-family homes that were zoned Industrial. The homes were constructed at a time when residential uses were allowed in industrial zoning districts. The properties are designated as Industrial on the Future Land Use Map, therefore rezoning to residential would not be supported. The use waiver would allow the homes to be rebuilt if damaged more than 50% of home’s value. The use waiver is an acceptable alternative to rezoning of the site. The Planning Department recommended approval in accordance with the plans submitted.  

Mr. Aspen moved to APPROVE in accordance with the plans submitted. Mr. Mahlendorf seconded the motion.  

AYES: Aspen, Mahlendorf, Moore, Lanoha  

MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
8. **Case No. 18-030**

Bert Hancock  
Pacific Gardens Associates, LLC  
1925 South 64th Avenue  
Omaha, NE 68102

**REQUEST:** Waiver of Section 55-740(f)(4) – Variance to the minimum perimeter parking lot landscaping from 10’ to 3’ to allow for construction of a retaining wall.

**LOCATION:** 7616 Pierce Street  
**ZONE:** R6

**PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION:** Layover.

At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Bert Hancock appeared before the Board.

Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that the applicant was proposing to renovate the parking lot and garages on the west side of the property and to construct a new retaining wall along 78th Street. Public Works had begun the design process for the 78th Street widening project and wanted to coordinate with the property owner on a plan that would benefit the City and the applicant. The Planning Department found no hardship or practical difficulty to support the request, but recommended that the case be laid over to allow additional time for coordination among all parties involved.

Mr. Hancock explained that there were plans to redesign the garages along the perimeter of the site, specifically the west side of the property closer to Woolworth Avenue. He explained that the intention was to demolish and replace the retaining wall along 78th Street that has deteriorated over time. He believed that a hardship resulted from the site being constructed under old zoning laws that made it difficult to build under current guidelines without losing 26 parking spaces.

Alan Kohll, 1312 South 78th Street, appeared before the board. Mr. Kohll stated that his and another neighbor’s driveways are across the street from the complex. He was concerned about the impact that retaining wall renovations would have on the Public Works street project. He wanted to see a more detailed plan for the retaining wall. He agreed that the case should be laid over.

Krista Wassenaar, Public Works Department, appeared before the board. She explained that the project was in its beginning stages and that Public Works was working with a consultant on the design aspect of project. She anticipated that the project design would not go to City Council until May 2018. She added that there was a meeting planned with the applicant to discuss their needs and the timeline of the renovations. She also requested a layover to allow time for additional coordination.

In response to Mr. Kohll, Mr. Hancock stated that the applicant would be sensitive to the needs of the neighbors and would communicate with them. He indicated that a 2 month layover would not negatively impact his project.

Mr. Mahlendorf moved to LAYOVER until the May 2018 meeting to allow for additional coordination between the applicant and the City of Omaha Public Works Department. Mr. Aspen seconded the motion.

**AYES:** Mahlendorf, Moore, Aspen, Lanoha

**MOTION CARRIED:** 4-0
9. Case No. 18-031  
Jon Alexander  
Junkstock, LLC  
1150 River Road Drive  
Waterloo, NE 68069  

REQUEST: Waiver of Section 55-84, 55-740(f)(4) & 55-659 – Variance to the permitted use regulations of the AG district to allow indoor entertainment, outdoor entertainment and campground not otherwise permitted; to the hard-surfacing requirement for a parking lot for indoor/outdoor entertainment and camping events yearly on March 1 – November 30; and to the permitted use regulations of the FW overlay district to allow indoor/outdoor entertainment not otherwise permitted.  

LOCATION: 1150 River Road  
ZONE: AG-FW  

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Denial.  

At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Jon Alexander appeared before the Board.  

Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that the applicant was proposing to add multiple uses, including campgrounds and pumpkin patch activities from September 1 – October 31. He also proposed commercial uses such as indoor and outdoor entertainment for weddings, reunions, and group meetings to the agricultural site which are not otherwise permitted. She explained that the Agricultural District is intended to encourage and continue agricultural and rural uses in outlying parts of the city’s zoning jurisdiction and is specifically designed to prohibit premature development into rural areas that are not fully served by public facilities. This site is located in the Exurban Elkhorn Special Development Zone which is an ecologically sensitive area that is not likely to receive full urban services in the foreseeable future.  

The Planning Department discussed the request with staff from the Fire Department and Permits and Inspections. Both were opposed to the request for the following reasons: 1) the buildings that would be used for assembly areas, weddings and meeting spaces do not meet the code requirements including fire sprinklers; 2) there is no water service in this area which would require fire hydrants and water mains to be brought into the property or the site would have to provide enough water storage on the site to provided adequate water supply to the fire sprinklers and for firefighting activities; 3) poor access to the site is a major concern as the gravel roads do not meet the dimensions for fire department access required by the code; and 4) there is only one access onto the property and access to the buildings are over 1000 feet from the road, which makes it incredibly difficult to reach the buildings and perform emergency operations.  

The Department also consulted with the Douglas County Engineer’s Office and there were concerns regarding traffic and traffic control. City staff and the Douglas County Engineer’s Office believed that improvements would be needed along West Dodge Road and River Road Drive (e.g. street improvements, turn lanes…) to adequately serve the additional traffic created by these commercial uses. These types of improvements are identified through the formal subdivision process and is required before any additional use of the property is allowed.  

In addition to being zoned Agricultural, the entire property lies within the FW-Floodway Overlay District. The City’s Floodplain Administrator also recommended denial of the request. Ms. Alfaro explained that as part of the City’s participation in the nationally-implemented Community Rating System (CRS) for evaluation of a community’s floodplain safety, the City is required to track the amount of green space located within floodplains in its jurisdiction. Decreases in the amount of green space negatively impact the community’s CRS rating and could result in increases in flood insurance premiums for all City residents as well as decreases in the community’s overall rating. She noted that even if this property was not located within the floodway, the type of commercial activities being proposed would not be supported due to its location in the Exurban Zone.
The Planning Department found no hardship or practical difficulty to support the request and recommended denial.

Mr. Alexander stated that he understood the Planning Department’s recommendation but he wanted to see if some type of an agreement or compromise could be reached.

Mr. Mahlendorf stated that the board could not support the request after taking into consideration the comments and recommendations of City, County and Federal departments.

Mr. Alexander stated that no new buildings would be added. He mentioned that all of the existing buildings have fire hydrants located near them that he hoped could substitute for fire sprinklers. Jay Davis, Assistant Director – Permits & Inspections, stated that sprinklers needed to be installed in places where more than 50 individuals would be congregating. The board suggested that the applicant go through the proper procedures for any acceptable uses for the site.

Mr. Aspen moved to DENY. Mr. Lanoha seconded the motion.

AYES: Moore, Aspen, Mahlendorf, Lanoha

MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
10. Case No. 18-032
   Nick Batter
   Hawkins Construction Company
   2516 Deer Park Boulevard
   Omaha, NE 68105

   REQUEST: Waiver of Section 55-767(a)(3) & 55-767(a)(6) – Variance to the miscellaneous uses to allow for a temporary construction batch plant to be established within 600’ of a developed residential use as close as 256’ and to allow a permit to exceed the maximum duration allowed from 180 days to 270 days.

   LOCATION: 16201 West Maple Road
   ZONE: R6

   PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Approval, in accordance with the plans submitted, which includes trucks not accessing through 162nd and Pinkney Streets.

   At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Nick Batter appeared before the Board.

   Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that the applicant was proposing to use the site as a temporary batch plant for the City's repaving project along 168th Street. The proposed location allows the applicant to reduce wear and tear on city streets and reduces heavy truck traffic along main thoroughfares. The location would help to make the operation safer and more efficient. The Planning Department recommended approval in accordance with the plans submitted, which includes trucks not accessing through 162nd and Pinkney Streets.

   Mr. Batter explained that the temporary site would reduce the number of trucks on the street and would help the applicant with the quality of their product. He explained that the site is situated in such a way that there would minimal impact to residential properties.

   In response to Mr. Lanoha, Mr. Batter stated that 165th Street would be the route primarily used for truck traffic; however, he requested that the use of 162nd Street be retained as an alternative in case of an emergency. Dave Fanslau, Planning Director, responded that he preferred that the restriction be included as a condition of approval. He suggested that in an emergency situation, the applicant should be required to contact the Planning Department for direction. The board agreed with Mr. Fanslau.

   Mr. Mahlendorf moved to APPROVE in accordance with the plans submitted subject to trucks not accessing 162nd & Pinkney Street without prior approval of the Planning Director in emergency situations.

   AYES: Aspen, Mahlendorf, Moore, Lanoha

   MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REQUEST:</th>
<th>Waiver of Section 55-186 &amp; 55-742(b) – Variance to the maximum height in a R4 district from 35’ to 52’ and to allow required off-street parking in the front yard setback to allow for construction of a school.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOCATION:</td>
<td>17800 George Miller Parkway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZONE:</td>
<td>R4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATION: Approval in accordance with the plans submitted.

At the Zoning Board of Appeals meeting held on March 8, 2018, Dawn Danley (DLR Group – 6457 Frances Street, Suite 200) appeared before the Board.

Michelle Alfaro, Zoning Board of Appeals Administrator, stated that the applicant was proposing to construct a new high school with off-street parking lots and other improvements. The parking design proposed parking in the front yard, which is not allowed, but is common for schools in residential districts. The main level of the school will include full stage setup with a fly loft. The fly loft is required to be 52’ above the main level, which puts the maximum building height above the district requirement of 35’. The Planning Department believed that the requests were not excessive and were appropriate for the use. The Planning Department recommended approval in accordance with the plans submitted.

Ms. Moore moved to APPROVE in accordance with the plans submitted. Mr. Aspen seconded the motion.

AYES: Mahlendorf, Moore, Aspen, Lanoha

MOTION CARRIED: 4-0
APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Mr. Aspen moved to APPROVE the minutes for the February 8, 2018 meeting. Ms. Moore seconded the motion.

AYES: Aspen, Mahlendorf, Moore, Lanoha

MOTION CARRIED: 4-0

DISCUSSION:

Jennifer Taylor, City Law, stated that the Bruning case had been appealed to the Nebraska Court of Appeals.

ADJOURNMENT

It was the consensus of the Board to ADJOURN the meeting at 2:31 p.m.

____________________________________________
Approved (date)

____________________________________________
Jason Lanoha, Chair

____________________________________________
Clinette Ingram, Secretary