MR. STEVE ANDERSEN CALLED THE MEETING TO ORDER AT 1:30 P.M. HE INFORMED THE PUBLIC THAT A NOTICE OF THE MEETING WAS PUBLISHED IN THE DAILY RECORD. MR. ANDERSEN STATED THAT THE NEBRASKA OPEN MEETINGS LAW WAS IN EFFECT AND THAT A COPY WAS AVAILABLE IN THE ROOM FOR REVIEW.

I. ROLL CALL

MEMBERS PRESENT:
Steve Andersen - Vice-Chair
Karen Bexten
Kim Cowman
Shawn Deane
Jay Palu
Gerald Reimer
Jerry Standerford

MEMBERS ABSENT:
Jim Lang - Chairman
Joseph Dore

OTHERS PRESENT:
Tracy Murray - Acting Chief Housing Inspector
Jennifer Taylor, City Law
Carrin Meadows, Board Secretary
Steve Andersen, Housing Inspector
Yvonne Barna, Housing Inspector
Roger Carroll, Housing Inspector

Wade Pease, Housing Inspector
Todd Shearer, Housing Inspector
II. Approval of July 6, 2017 minutes.

Mr. Jerry Standerford motioned to approve the minutes of the July 6, 2017 meeting. Second by Mr. Gerald Reimer

AYES: Andersen, Cowman, Deane, Reimer, Standerford

ABSTAIN: Bexten, Palu

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 5-2

III. Cases

| Case No. 17-28 (From 4/06/2017 and 7/06/2017) | LOCATION: | 3223 Q St  
16-01541TS  
|---|---|---|
| Beatrice Moreno  
4809 S 22 St  
Omaha, NE 68107 | CASE: | 16-01541TS  
REQUEST: |
| Beatrice Moreno was in front of the Board in July and was granted a 30-day layover at that time. Ms. Moreno was approved for a motion of a layover in July based upon the stipulation that this month she would provide the Board with a signed building contract and a timeline for construction. |
| Mr. Todd Shearer appeared before the Board on behalf of the City of Omaha. Mr. Shearer stated Ms. Beatrice Moreno was in front of the Board in July and was granted a 30-day layover at that time. Ms. Moreno was approved for a motion of a layover in July based upon the stipulation that this month she would provide the Board with a signed building contract and a timeline for construction. |
| Ms. Moreno presented a document from McLeay in which included a signed contract and a timeline. Ms. Moreno stated that McLeay is going to be the company completing all of the work and pulling the permits. Mr. Steve Andersen asked Ms. Tracy Murray what the City was recommending at this time. Ms. Tracy Murray stated that the City is recommending a 90-day layover to do the work and continue the progressing on the property. |
| Mr. Shearer stated that the contract from McLeay states a 90-day expectation for the project to be completed. During a brief conversation it was determined the exhibits presented in July showed that McLeay carries a Building Class A Contractors License with the City of Omaha. It was also determined that permits have not been applied for at this time. |
| Mr. Jay Palu motioned to approve a 90-day extension. Second by Mr. Gerald Reimer second the motion. |
| AyES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford |
| MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0 |

| Case No. 17-41 (From 5/04/2017 and 6/01/2017) | LOCATION: | 5018 N 46 St  
16-01547SA  
Appeal Notice of Property Violation Re-inspect dated November 15, 2016. |
|---|---|---|
| Dr. Muhammad Farid Khan  
8420 W Dodge Rd Suite 105  
Omaha, NE 68114 | CASE: | 16-01547SA  
REQUEST: |
| Vice-Chairman Mr. Steve Andersen presented a brief synopsis from the May 2017 and June 2017 Board meeting in which the approval of the layover consisted of a meeting with the City, |
pull permits and a construction timeline. He also stated that he had not seen any permits pulled as of this morning.

Mr. Steve Andersen appeared before the Board on behalf of the City of Omaha. Mr. Andersen stated that this house does have a demo order on it, but the owner is wanting to repair the house. Since the June meeting Mr. Andersen stated that there was a meeting with the City, a general contractor, an engineer and the owner. Mr. Andersen stated an engineer’s report has been presented to the City for this property. The recommendations based upon this meeting was to have the plans for the project to be drawn up and given to the planning department prior to the permits being pulled. Mr. Andersen understands a permit may not be approved within a 30-day layover, but the plans and permits need to be submitted with in the 30-days. Ms. Tracy Murray stated the reason for the layover versus an extension is that there is an extensive amount of work that needs to be done on this property and the City wants to see the plans and permit applications to be able monitor the progress. Vice-Chairman Mr. Steve Andersen questioned, whether this amount of work can be completed in 30-days.

Mr. Gregory Johnson, who appeared on behalf of Fontenelle Realty stated that the general engineer and the contractor are ready to go. He also stated that the project at this time is waiting on direction on how to proceed.

Mr. Gerald Reimer motioned to approve a 30-day layover with the stipulation of plans being submitted for approval to the City and the permits being applied for. Second by Mr. Shawn Deane second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>LOCATION:</th>
<th>CASE:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17-37 (From 5/04/2017 and 6/01/2017)</td>
<td>5324 N 44 Ave</td>
<td>Appeal Notice of Property Violation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Harmsen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Compliance dated August 19, 2015 &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1010 N 75 St</td>
<td></td>
<td>Demolition Order dated March 28, 2017.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Steve Andersen presented a brief synopsis from the June 2017 Board meeting in which the approval of the layover consisted of cleaning up the trash from the exterior and the interior of the house and a construction schedule submitted to the City.

Mr. Wade Pease appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha on behalf of Kurt Holmstrom. Mr. Pease stated that Mr. Tyrone Parker has cleaned up the property. Mr. Pease stated that Mr. Davis and Mr. Holmstrom with the City recommend a 30-day layover.

Mr. Gerald Reimer motioned to approve a 30-day layover. Second by Ms. Kim Cowman second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0
Mr. Steve Andersen presented a brief synopsis from the June 2017 Board meeting in which the approval of the layover consisted of the yard and house be cleaned up.

Mr. Wade Pease appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha on behalf of Kurt Holmstrom. Mr. Pease stated that Mr. Tyrone Parker has cleaned up the property. Mr. Pease stated that Mr. Jay Davis and Mr. Kurt Holmstrom with the City recommend a 30-day layover. Mr. Pease then presented the Board with pictures of how the clean-up process was progressing. (Photos, Exhibit 2) Mr. Pease explained that the pictures show that the property is pretty clean in comparison to what it was and that you can tell Mr. Parker has spent a lot of time cleaning up the property.

Mr. Reimer asked if Mr. Pease was satisfied with the amount of clean-up that had taken place. Mr. Pease stated there is a night and day difference between the pictures.

Mr. Gerald Reimer motioned to approve a 30-day layover. Second by Mr. Jay Palu second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0

Mr. Steve Andersen presented a brief synopsis from the June 2017 Board meeting in which the approval of the layover consisted of the yard to be cleaned up and permits pulled for the necessary work.

Mr. Wade Pease appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha. After a brief discussion is was determined the case is dismissed based up the property has been sold.

Mr. Reimer motioned to dismiss the case. Second by Mr. Palu second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0
Mr. Steve Andersen presented a brief synopsis from the June 2017 Board meeting in which the approval of the layover consisted of the plans be submitted to the city and permits pulled for the necessary work.

Ms. Yvonne Barna appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha. Ms. Barna stated plans haven’t been submitted, but a permit was pulled today at 1:37 p.m. for the exterior of the house which consists of roofing, siding, windows, gutters and soffits. Ms. Barna reiterated to Mr. Michael Walz that the permit will expire in 30-days if the work has not been started. Ms. Barna presented the Board with pictures taken yesterday which show broken windows and stray cats going into the property. Ms. Barna did point out that he does keep in contact with her and the lawn is mowed. Ms. Barna’s stated she needs to know the amount of time in which it is going to take to finish the work and if it is going to get done.

Ms. Murray stated that the City was in favor of a denial because as of earlier today a construction timeline, permits and plans had not been submitted to the City.

Mr. Andersen asked why the plans had not been submitted with in the 60-day layover. Mr. Walz stated his contractor was very busy and then hurt his back, thus the contractor is running behind. Mr. Walz also stated that he was not aware of the request of a construction timeline which made during the previous meeting. Mr. Walz stated that he does not have a problem getting construction timeline submitted.

Mr. Palu questioned which permits have been pulled. Mr. Walz then stated the permit entails the exterior of the property; roofing, siding, windows, gutters and soffits. Mr. Palu then asked what else had been done to move the project forward. Mr. Walz stated that he does maintain the property by mowing every other week and picking up the trash. Mr. Walz stated he was not aware of the cats going into the property. Mr. Walz stated that he is aware of a small opening at the foundation in which a raccoon continues to get in through.

Mr. Standerford asked Mr. Walz how long he thought it would take to complete the work for the permit that was pulled. Mr. Walz stated the exterior work would take 3-4 months because it is a complete redo of the exterior. Mr. Walz stated the property is stucco siding, a framed structure with stucco and wood siding, the framing structure of the roof needs to be completely removed.

Mr. Andersen questioned Mr. Walz on whether the building was structurally safe and what he would need drawings for. Ms. Barna stated he is going to need more than drawings if he is replacing more than shingles on the roof. Mr. Walz stated once he tears into the roof he will get an addendum if he needs to. Ms. Barna stated that he would need to submit plans and that it was not going to be an addendum it would be a whole new permit.

A brief discussion about the overall construction plan of the property took place amongst Mr. Walz, Ms. Barna and the Board. Discussion was brought up on how the internal of the property may or may not be structurally safe. Many ideas about the property’s overall construction plan and future expectations of the property were discussed.
Ms. Murray stated that the City’s original recommendation would be to deny the appeal for extension due to the plans and the permit not being submitted to the City. Earlier in this discussion it was determined the permit was applied for at 1:37 p.m. today.

A short discussion came to the table in regards to Mr. Walz demolishing the property. Mr. Walz concurred with this notion of demolishing the property due to the amount of work that was needed at the property and the uncertainty of whether the property was structurally stable or not. Ms. Murray suggested a layover at this time in order for Mr. Walz to truly decide he wants to demolish the building. If Mr. Walz decides to demolish the property he will need to do complete the following; get the wreck permit, get the asbestos report done, and pre-inspection issues during the layover time period.

Mr. Gerald Reimer motioned to approve a 30-day layover subject to Mr. Walz coming back in front of the Board in 30-days with a complete set of Building Plans or completed asbestos test and the demo contract in the works. Second by Ms. Karen Bexten second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

NAYES: Deane

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 6-1

Case No. 17-61
Gregory Nowel
3329 Hickory St
Omaha, NE 68105

LOCATION: 2215 Mason St
CASE: 17-00151YB (Apartment)
REQUEST: 17-00189YB (Multi-Family Dwelling)

Ms. Yvonne Barna appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha. Ms. Barna stated she has had this property written up since February. This is a multi-family dwelling with a middle unit that is still occupied. She also stated the basement apartment that she vacated has not been reoccupied and the exterior of the building is written up. Ms. Barna stated she has contact with the owner. Ms. Barna stated that the yard has not been kept up. She said the owner states that he is trying to sell the property because he cannot afford it.

Mr. Gregory Nowel appeared before the Board and stated that he is trying to sell the property and that he has two possible buyers. He has had others look at the property, but they did not want to buy it because of all of the code violations. Mr. Nowel stated that he is going through Chapter 13 bankruptcy and that is part of the reason in which he has not been able to sell the property as quickly as he wanted to. Mr. Nowel also stated that this is a property in which his deceased wife purchased, but he cannot financially keep up.

There was a discussion amongst the Board members, Ms. Murray, Ms. Barna and Mr. Nowel on what his opportunities for the property consisted of. Mr. Nowel stated that he was willing to put a For Sale sign up in the yard versus just using word of mouth to sell the property. Mr. Nowel also stated he is going to mow and clean up the yard.

Mr. Reimer motioned to approve a 60-day layover with the condition that Mr. Nowel puts a For Sale sign with his phone number up on the property, potentially lists it, and makes an honest effort to sell it. Mr. Nowel will also clean up and maintain the exterior. Second by Mr. Deane second the motion.
AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0

Case No. 17- 62
Nic Robbins
18025 Oak St Suite B
Omaha, NE 68130
LOCATION: 4038 Hamilton St Apt 1
CASE: 17-00877RC

Mr. Roger Carroll appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha. Mr. Carroll stated this appeal notice of property violation and order to vacate is dated July 5, 2017. Mr. Carroll stated that he has not had contact with the owner. There is a Building permit pulled for windows, siding and roofing which will need a final inspection. Mr. Carroll stated the owner needs to get a licensed plumber and electrician to work on the property. Mr. Carroll stated the plumbing is red and blue Pex plumbing and an unvented bathroom sink which will need to be replaced. Mr. Carroll stated that he is not opposed to the owner having more time to complete the repairs.

Mr. Nic Robbins stated the siding, roofing and gutters are done and that he is aware that he needs to get a final inspection. Mr. Robbins is still working on replacing and fixing the windows. Mr. Robbins stated that he the violation process is all new to him, so he did not realize how the extension and appeal process works. He stated that there has been illegal activity in which police reports have been filed and arrests were made at the other apartments within the building. These tenants are vacating the property due to being evicted. Mr. Robbins was trying to wait until the evicted tenants were gone to finish the work.

Ms. Murray questioned if Mr. Robbins was disputing the order to vacate the property. Mr. Robbins was not disputing the order to vacate the apartment. Mr. Robbins is just asking for more time to complete the work.

Mr. Reimer motioned to approve a 90-day extension. Second by Ms. Cowman second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0

Case No. 17- 63
Joseph L Allen Jr
1715 Leavenworth St
Omaha, NE 68102
LOCATION: 4201 Maple St
CASE: 15-01218KM and 17-00223KM (House)
16-00579KM (Detached Garage)

Ms. Yvonne Barna appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha in lieu of Mr. Kevin Mulcahy. Ms. Barna stated this is three cases at the same location; two cases on the house and one case on the detached garage which has a demolition order. Ms. Barna feels with the paperwork and the situation at this property it should be heard as one case. Ms. Barna stated that there has been no progress and no work on the property.
Mr. Joseph Allen Jr. stated he pulled a permit on the demolition order on the garage, he had the pest inspection and started on the garage. He had a trailer connected to the garage and thought that it was secure, but someone stole it. Mr. Allen stated he is going to get this property finished and rented out. Mr. Allen stated that the reason he is here today is because Mr. Mulcahy called him and that he was not aware of the violations at the property until Mr. Mulcahy made contact him.

Mr. Allen said the house is secured, he is cleaning up the yard this weekend, he is going to determine whether he can fix the windows or if he needs to get new ones and he is going to put new doors in. Mr. Allen stated a dumpster is now on the property and he has begun working on cleaning out the house.

Mr. Allen said that had a tenant at the house in February who started the house on fire and six of the windows were knocked out by the fire department when they were putting out the fire. Mr. Allen stated the original case was from 2015 from the previous owner with the case which was called in by a neighbor for the exterior of the house. The other two cases; one on the interior of the house and one for the detached garage are from the fire in February 2017.

Mr. Reimer asked Mr. Allen if he had insurance on the house at the time of the fire. Mr. Allen said no he did not have insurance and he does not have insurance on it right now.

Mr. Andersen asked if it was a vacant house. Mr. Allen stated that it is vacant. Mr. Reimer asked if the house was uninhabitable. Mr. Allen stated that right now it is uninhabitable, but not for long because he has been working on it and cleaning it out.

Mr. Reimer referred to the pictures of the property on file and questioned how long Mr. Allen thought he would need to fix up the property. Mr. Allen said he knows that the plumbing and electrical will need to be checked. Mr. Allen stated that he needs at least 60 days to finish up and to rent it out or sell it for a reasonable price. Mr. Andersen stated that he thinks that it will take longer than 60 days to get the property clean up, fixed up and either sold or rented out due to the amount of damage from the fire.

Mr. Standerford motioned to approve a 30-day layover with the stipulation outside cleanup, reapply for the demolition permit for the garage and start the demolition on the garage and secure the property. No second motion was made. Motion died.

Mr. Palu motioned to deny the request for the appeal for an extension. Ms. Cowman second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer

NAYES: Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: DENIED: 6-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No. 17- 64</th>
<th>LOCATION: 5028 Drexel St</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Richard Wright</td>
<td>15-01209TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6074 S 52 St</td>
<td>Appeal Notice of Property Violation dated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omaha, NE 68117</td>
<td>October 15, 2015 and Demolition Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dated June 6, 2017.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Steve Andersen recused himself from this case.
Mr. Todd Shearer appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha. There was a fire at this property in 1995. In 1995 the correct permits were pulled and finalized. Since 1995 there have been many actions against this property. In 2001 the city was at the property because it had no utilities and the file was dropped due to a lawsuit in 2003. In 2003 there was a new case filed and a demolition order placed on the property due to no utilities and the condition of the property. Mr. Shearer became an inspector with the city in 2006 and was assigned to this property. Since 2006 utilities have only been on for two of the years. Mr. Shearer stated people have lived in the house over the years without utilities and there are a lot of items that need to be dealt with and corrected at the house. Mr. Shearer said he has not been in the dwelling for quite some time. Mr. Shearer stated the owner does keep it mowed, but this house is the eye sore of the neighborhood. Mr. Shearer's recommendation is to deny the request of appeal of an extension.

Mr. Reimer asked Mr. Richard Wright what his position was. Mr. Wright stated he disagreed. Mr. Reimer asked if Mr. Wright's intention was to fix the house. Mr. Wright stated his intention is to fix the house. Mr. Wright stated that he has the money to fix the house up and that he believes he can do the repairs within 90-days. Mr. Reimer asked if Mr. Wright was granted the 90-days if he felt that he was being treated fairly and justly if he is granted the 90-days and Mr. Wright said yes.

Mr. Reimer motioned to approve a 90-day extension.

Ms. Bexten asked Mr. Shearer what his recommendation was. Mr. Shearer stated that nothing has been done to the property and he has seen no good faith until recently when he put a new demolition order on the property. When the demolition order was placed on the property Mr. Wright came in to talk to Mr. Shearer. Mr. Shearer asked what Mr. Wright had done on the property since the demolition order was placed. Mr. Shearer stated Mr. Wright does not have any contractors set up because he does not see the severity nor anything that would warrant a contractor.

Mr. Reimer withdrew his motion.

Mr. Shearer explained to Mr. Wright since the new heater and air conditioner were installed in 1995 and the property has not had utilities since 2001. Mr. Shearer stated a new furnace and a new air need to be installed. He also stated the MUD has removed gas service from the property and that a whole new gas service will need to be installed. Mr. Wright rebutted, if I installed gas and that he could use propane or electric. Mr. Shearer stated he would need an electric contractor for electrical work. Mr. Reimer stated propane is not allowed in the City of Omaha.

Mr. Palu asked whether Mr. Wright lived at the location or if he had a tenant. Mr. Wright stated that the house is vacant. Mr. Shearer rebutted with the statement of Mr. Wright stays at the property periodically. Mr. Palu asked what the overall intent with the property was. Mr. Wright stated that this is his home and he intends on living here.

The discussion started about why this property was not demolished. Mr. Shearer stated that it has not been on the top of the list of properties because it is not as bad off as other properties. It also does not meet the current criteria to get it to the top of the list. Mr. Shearer stated that the house was repaired after the fire in 1995, but through the years it has not been taken care of Mr. Wright will need to get general repair permit to fix doors, windows and siding which all are rotten, but all of the structural items were approved in 1995 so Mr. Shearer hopes that it would be ok.
Mr. Wright stated he has pictures of the house and that Mr. Shearer was making it to be dilapidated and falling down which Mr. Wright does not believe is the case. Mr. Reimer questioned whether Mr. Wright was going to fix the items that have been outlined. Mr. Wright agreed that these items need to be fixed, but not in the dire straits that Mr. Shearer makes it sound like.

Mr. Standerford motioned to deny the request for the appeal for an extension. Mr. Reimer second the motion.

ABSTAIN: Andersen

AYES: Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: DENIED: 6-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No. 17- 65</th>
<th>LOCATION: 5922 S 137 St</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Flanagan</td>
<td>CASE: 16-01671TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125 Skyline Dr</td>
<td>REQUEST: Appeal Notice of Property Violation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elkhorn, NE 68022</td>
<td>Extension dated May 24, 2017.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Todd Shearer appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha. This property is a rental property in which Mr. Shearer gave the owner seven months to repair the violations. Mr. Shearer stated nothing had been done until last week. On Tuesday the building permit for the deck was approved. Mr. Shearer stated he has not spoken to the owner and has not been to the property since the owner applied for an appeal. As of the last time Mr. Shearer was at the property the front stoop needed fixed, retaining wall, siding repairs and the driveway needed to be replaced.

Ms. Jamie Flanagan appeared and stated that the repairs are complete, but during the work process something happened to the garage door and now it needs to be replaced. Ms. Flanagan presented the Board with pictures (Exhibit 2) showing the driveway was replaced, the front stoop had been repaired, the retaining wall was repaired and the siding was fixed. Ms. Flanagan stated that she still needs to paint a little bit of a board that was put up and stain the deck.

Mr. Reimer explained the appeal process to Ms. Flanagan. Mr. Reimer asked Ms. Flanagan how long she thought she needed to fix these repairs. Ms. Flanagan stated that she would need 30-days to complete the work. Mr. Shearer explained the process to Ms. Flanagan on what she would need to do in order for the case to be released.

Mr. Gerald Reimer motioned to approve a 60-day extension. Second by Mr. Deane second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0
Case No. 17- 66  
Eloy Flores  
2829 Monroe St  
Omaha, NE 68107

LOCATION: 4819 S 19 St  
CASE: 15-01033TS  
REQUEST: Appeal Notice of Property Violation  
Extension dated November 14, 2016.

Mr. Todd Shearer appeared on behalf of the City of Omaha. Mr. Shearer stated that Mr. Eloy Flores is a house flipper and that he knows what is expected from the City. Mr. Shearer stated that the property does look much better than it did but Mr. Flores needs an electrical permit, a plumbing permit, mechanical permit and a porch permit, none of which have been pulled.

Mr. Andersen stated that a Building permit was pulled on May 23 for a garage and a shed and asked Mr. Flores if he had gotten the approval. Mr. Flores stated that the permit was still pending because the plans are in the approval process.

Mr. Reimer asked what Mr. Flores what he was asking for. Mr. Flores stated that he was asking for a little bit more time to do it right. Mr. Reimer asked how much more time Mr. Flores was requesting and Mr. Flores responded 90-days. Mr. Reimer asked whether Mr. Flores had the funding to complete the work. Mr. Flores stated that he had the funding to complete the work. Mr. Reimer also asked about the contractors or if Mr. Flores did the work himself. Mr. Flores stated he does some of the work himself, but he has an electrical and plumbing contractor.

The process of getting permits was re-iterated to Mr. Flores. Mr. Flores stated that he will pull the permits and do the job right.

Mr. Gerald Reimer motioned to approve a 90-day extension with the contingency that appropriate permits need to be pulled and inspections need to be completed. Second by Mr. Deane second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0

IV. Other Business

Jennifer Taylor - Annual overview of statutory authority, responsibilities and Board governance.  
Tabled until September 7, 2017 meeting.

V. Adjourn

Mr. Deane made a motion to adjourn at 2:55 p.m. to adjourn. Mr. Reimer second the motion.

AYES: Andersen, Bexten, Cowman, Deane, Palu, Reimer, Standerford

MOTION CARRIED: APPROVED: 7-0