Mr. James Lang called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.

I. Roll Call

Steven Andersen
Kim Cowman
Joseph Dore
Jeffrey Ehler
James Lang, Chair
Jay Palu
Gerald Reimer
Jerry Standerford

Others Present:
Anna Bespoyasny, Superintendent of the Permits and Inspections Division
Mike Wilwerding, Chief Building Inspector
Michelle Peters, City Attorney
Debbie Hightower, Executive Secretary
Dillon Shearer, Housing Inspector
Roger Carroll, Housing Inspector
Luke DeVivo, Housing Inspector

II. Approval of Minutes: Approval of July 11, 2019 minutes.

Motion by Mr. Jeffrey Ehler to approve the July 11, 2019 minutes. Second by Mr. Joseph Dore.

AYES: Cowman, Dore, Ehler, Palu, Reimer, Standerford, Lang
ABSTAIN: Andersen

Motion carried: 7-0-1, Approved
III. Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>19-02</th>
<th>Layover from 2/7/19, 3/7/19, and 5/2/19*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AB Realty LLC</td>
<td>LOCATION: 2400 N 34 Ave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2400 N 34 Ave #24</td>
<td>APPEAL: Notices dated beginning 9/26/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omaha, NE 68111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the Property Maintenance Appeals Board meeting held on August 1, 2019, Kay Anderson, Janae Anderson, and Robert Sherrets appeared before the Board in regards to the request at the above address. Dillon Shearer appeared on behalf of the City.

Mr. Shearer summarized the history of the property for the board. He stated that Buildings B and C have been completed and released. He explained that communication has been good. He stated he recommends a six month layover.

Mr. Anderson stated that he is not sure if the entire project will be completed in six months. He stated he thinks he can have two more buildings done in six months. Mr. Gerald Reimer asked which two buildings he would want to have complete. Mr. Anderson explained the buildings with apartments 32-37. Mr. Reimer asked if that is one building. Mr. Anderson confirmed and detailed additional work that the inspector has requested. He stated that until that is done, there are three buildings that are waiting to have power. Mr. Reimer stated that he appreciates the work that has been done, but wants Mr. Anderson to be specific in the buildings to complete. Mr. Anderson stated the buildings would be those with apartments 32-37 and apartments 58-65.

Mr. Reimer asked if they have been successful releasing buildings. Ms. Anderson stated that they have been extremely successful and have people knocking on their doors. Mr. Anderson detailed the interest. Mr. Reimer asked if any previous tenants have signed leases or shown interest in returning. Mr. Anderson confirmed stating many have requested their previous unit.

Mr. Jerry Standerford asked about the timeline, specifically the exterior. Mr. Shearer stated the City is satisfied with work done so far. Mr. Anderson stated that they intend to be done with the exterior or all buildings by the fall. Mr. Reimer summarized what Mr. Anderson stated he will complete. There is discussion about the previous recommendations.

Mr. Steven Andersen stated he would recommend having them return in three or four months to check progress. Mr. Reimer stated that at this point, Mr. Anderson has earned this extended time. Mr. Jay Palu asked Ms. Anna Bespoyasny if any plans have been received and reviewed. Ms. Bespoyasny stated that there are no plans required, unless Housing Enforcement has requested them.

Mr. Ehler asked what the percent of completion would be in six months. Mr. Anderson stated approximately 35%. He stated his son’s projection for completion date is for March 2020, that they’re agreeing to that percent completion, but are going to be working towards having more completed.

Motion by Mr. Dore to approve a six month layover to complete the buildings with apartments 32-37 and apartments 58-65. Second by Mr. Reimer.

AYES: Andersen, Cowman, Dore, Ehler, Palu, Reimer, Standerford, Lang

Motion carried: 8-0, Approved
At the Property Maintenance Appeals Board meeting held on August 1, 2019, Brooke Geiger appeared before the Board in regards to the request at the above address. Roger Carroll appeared on behalf of the City.

Mr. Carroll summarized the history of the property. He stated that he recommends a six month extension. He detailed permits that have been pulled. Ms. Geiger stated she is appearing on behalf of Dave Palladino. She detailed the work that would be completed within the six month timeline. She asked if a layover would be possible. Mr. Carroll stated he is willing to go along with that. Ms. Geiger stated she appreciates working with the City, but it is taking time and they are actively discussing the completion of the project.

Mr. Palu asked if the property is being kept clean and mowed. Mr. Carroll confirmed, detailing what has been going on and communication.

Mr. Reimer explains his understanding of the difference between the board approving an extension versus a layover. He asked what the applicant would prefer. Ms. Geiger stated she was instructed to ask for a layover. Mr. Reimer stated that if the case was closed out before then, they would not have to come back.

Motion by Mr. Reimer to approve a six month layover. Second by Mr. Dore.

Mr. Standerford asked about the request for four months. Mr. Reimer recalled what he remembered.

AYES: Andersen, Cowman, Dore, Ehler, Palu, Reimer, Standerford, Lang

Motion carried: 8-0, Approved
At the Property Maintenance Appeals Board meeting held on August 1, 2019, Katherine Young and Beth Dankert Babb appeared before the Board in regards to the request at the above address. Luke DeVivo appeared on behalf of the City.

Mr. DeVivo stated he was appearing on behalf of Mr. Todd Shearer. He summarized where the case was in process. He detailed communication and that they are within their first ninety days and will get an additional ninety day extension in September, and then could get another ninety day extension before they would be in citation pending.

Mr. Andersen stated that he is understanding that they should just dismiss it. Mr. Reimer stated he understands that they may be here prematurely. Mr. Lang stated that the applicant may want to request to have the case withdrawn. There is discussion about the applicant requesting the case to be withdrawn versus having the board dismiss it.

Mr. Standerford asked about the refund of the fee. Ms. Bespoyasny stated they would have to research if a refund is possible within the code.

Ms. Young requested that the case be withdrawn.

**IV. Adjournment**

Motion by Mr. Andersen to adjourn. Second by Mr. Dore.

AYES: Andersen, Cowman, Dore, Ehler, Palu, Reimer, Standerford, Lang

Motion carried: 8-0, Adjourned at 1:53 p.m.