MEMBERS PRESENT:
Ann M. O’Connor, Chair
David C. Levy, Vice Chair
Jim Weaver
Bode M. A. Labode
Jose Lopez-Nuno

MEMBERS ABSENT:
Marty Conboy, Alternate
John R. Barrett, Alternate

OTHERS PRESENT:
Timothy Himes, Jr., Law Department
Kevin Denker, Planning Department
Joe Adriano, Planning Department
Kurt Holmstrom, Planning Department
Todd Pfitzer, Public Works Department
Murthy Koti, Public Works Department
Ryan Haas, Public Works Department
Rikki Flott, Recording Secretary

ROLL CALL:
Ms. O’Connor called the meeting to order at 1:00 pm. The Board received as Exhibit 1 in each of this meeting's cases the contents of the City's file on each case.

Cases:
1. 14-4-23
   Eric D. McCoy
   1435 North 15th Street
   Council Bluffs, IA 51501
   REQUEST: Appeal Police Department denial of taxicab permit

Eric D. McCoy was not present when his case was called.

Motion to LAYOVER by Mr. Weaver. Second by Mr. Levey.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Case held over until the May 19, 2014 meeting.
2.
14-4-24
Johnnie D. Allen
6306 North 46th Street
Omaha, NE 68104

REQUEST: Appeal Police Department denial of firearm registration

Johnnie D. Allen appeared before the Board. Lt. Sedlacek, Omaha Police Department, stated that the applicant applied to register a firearm on March 14, 2014. He was denied for two marijuana less than an ounce convictions. The first conviction was on July 1, 2011 which resulted in a $300 fine. The second conviction was May 9, 2012 which resulted in a $500 fine.

Mr. Allen stated that he did not understand why he was granted a permit to purchase a firearm but was not allowed to register it.

In response to Mr. Levy, Lt. Sedlacek confirmed that the 2012 conviction was for possession of marijuana more than an ounce instead of less as was previously stated. Mr. Weaver inquired as to when the applicant applied for his gun permit. Mr. Allen responded that it was in the fall of 2013.

Mr. Weaver explained that the City Ordinance specifically rejects an application for a gun permit for any drug offenses within a 10-year period. He noted that the applicant was still within that time period.

Mr. Weaver moved to DENY. Second by Mr. Lopez-Nuno.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal denied.

3.
14-4-25
Kristian B. Kronberg
3104 A Street
Omaha, NE 68105

REQUEST: Appeal Police Department denial of firearm registration

Kristian B. Kronberg appeared before the Board. Lt. Sedlacek, Omaha Police Department, stated that the applicant applied to register a firearm on March 28, 2014. He was denied due to a drug paraphernalia conviction from October 16, 2006 which resulted in a $50 fine.

Mr. Kronberg stated that he was appealing the denial due to the time that had passed since the charges. He added that since that time, there have been no other charges on his record. He was recently married and would like the firearm to protect his family. Lt. Sedlacek confirmed that there had been no other charges on Mr. Kronberg’s record since the 2006 conviction.

In response to Mr. Weaver, Mr. Kronberg stated that his current employer does not require drug screenings.

Mr. Levy moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Lopez-Nuno

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal granted.
4. 14-4-26
Timothy J. Koch
16206 Wright Plaza
Omaha, NE 68130
REQUEST: Appeal Police Department denial of firearm registration

Kevin Denker stated that the applicant had requested a layover.

Mr. Weaver moved to LAYOVER. Second by Mr. Labode.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Case held over until the May 19, 2014 meeting.

5. 14-4-27
Tamure D. Morgan
2010 North 16th Street #2
Omaha, NE 68110
REQUEST: Appeal Police Department denial of firearm registration

Tamure D. Morgan appeared before the Board. Lt. Sedlacek, Omaha Police Department, stated that the applicant applied to register a firearm on April 3, 2014. She was denied due to a conviction for marijuana less than an ounce from April 24, 2007 which resulted in a $100 fine.

Ms. Morgan stated that she was appealing the denial so that she could obtain a firearm to protect herself and her family. She added that she has no other charges on her record. Also, she is a General Manager for McDonald’s and is occasionally required to take drug tests. Lt. Sedlacek confirmed that there had been no other charges since the 2007 offense. In response to Mr. Weaver, Ms. Morgan stated that she understood that the handgun registration would not permit her to carry the weapon.

Mr. Weaver moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Levy.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal granted.

6. 14-4-37
Jerome R. Johnson
7209 South 75th Street
LaVista, NE 68128
REQUEST: Appeal Police Department denial of firearm registration

Jerome R. Johnson appeared before the Board. Lt. Sedlacek, Omaha Police Department, stated that the applicant applied to register a firearm on April 11, 2014. He was denied due to a conviction for marijuana less than an ounce from April 26, 2006 which resulted in a $100 fine.

Mr. Johnson stated that he plans to move to Omaha in the near future and would like to have his weapons transferred.

In response to Mr. Weaver, Lt. Sedlacek explained that the child abuse charge listed on Mr. Johnson’s record was actually a misdemeanor charge of child neglect, which would not prevent the applicant from obtaining a permit.
Mr. Weaver moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Lopez-Nuno.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O'Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal granted.

7.

14-3-20 from 3/31/14
Thomas E. Kujawa
2208 D Street
Omaha NE 68107

REQUEST: Appeal Police Department Notice of Nuisance (RE: 2208 D Street)

Thomas E. Kujawa appeared before the Board. Joseph Adriano, Housing Inspector – Planning Department, believed that the applicant was living in an RV that is parked in the driveway of the home. Mr. Kujawa explained that the vehicle had been licensed, insured and is being stored at another location. He also stated that he is not living in the RV. The applicant produced a current registration for the Board’s review.

In response to Mr. Levy, Mr. Adriano stated that he had visited the property on Friday, April 25, 2014 and witnessed the camper in the driveway. He stated that he could not verify that it had been moved until he visited the property again. He submitted pictures that he had taken (Exhibit #2) of the site. Mr. Kujawa stated that he would be bringing the camper back to the property occasionally while he used it for work purposes. Also in response to Mr. Levy, Mr. Adriano stated that there is space on the property that the applicant could use to legally park the camper; however, he was concerned that it would be used as a residence. At the Board’s request, Kevin Denker, Chief Housing Inspector – Planning Department, explained that as long as the camper is licensed, out of the front yard setback, and on the driveway it would be considered in compliance. Mr. Weaver noted that if the camper was not on the property, the case could be temporarily tabled until such time as another notice was issued.

Mr. Levy stated that he was hesitant to grant the appeal in the event that it was to come before the Board in the future; he was also hesitant to deny the appeal if the applicant was found to be in compliance.

Timothy Himes, Jr., Attorney – City Law Department, explained that tabling the case would deny the applicant justice since the case would essentially remain in limbo.

Mr. Levy moved to DISMISS. Second by Mr. Weaver.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Case dismissed.

8.

14-3-21 from 3/31/14
John L. Brunner
6314 North 47th Street
Omaha NE 68104

REQUEST: Appeal Police Department Notice of Nuisance (RE: 6314 North 47th Street)

John L. Brunner appeared before the Board. Kurt Holmstrom, Housing Inspector – Housing Inspector – Planning Department, stated that the Omaha Police Department reported a vehicle and truck trailer that was parked in the front yard of a residence. He submitted pictures (Exhibit #2) which showed that evidence of vehicles that have been parked over the sidewalk in the yard, which is not allowed.

Mr. Brunner stated that the vehicle has been taken care of and that the trailer, which was purchased in 1997, has mostly sat its current spot since that time. He admitted that one of the tires of the trailer sits
about 1’6” off of the driveway into the yard, and offered to put a block underneath the tire. He explained that the trailer needs to sit beside his boat so that he would be able to access both.

In response to Mr. Weaver, Mr. Brunner stated that the trailer is licensed. Mr. Holmstrom explained that the trailer and boat could sit side-by-side as long as the tire that sits off the driveway is on a paving strip or extension. Mr. Weaver suggested that the applicant install enough pavers that would allow him to park the boat and trailer side-by-side, thereby, bringing the trailer in compliance.

Mr. Weaver moved to DENY in addition to giving the applicant 60 days to comply, which would include the installation of pavers.

After some discussion, Mr. Weaver amended his motion. It was understood that Mr. Brunner would install the pavers at any time so that the trailer would be in compliance.

Mr. Weaver moved to DENY. Second by Mr. Levy.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal denied.

9.

14-4-28

Don Einerson

6515 North 49th Street

Omaha, NE 68104

REQUEST: Appeal Police Department Notice of Nuisance

(RE: 6515 North 49th Street)

Don Einerson appeared before the Board. Kurt Holmstrom, Housing Inspector – Planning Department, stated that the Omaha Police Department issued the notice. He explained that there are gravel streets and no public sidewalks in the area. The applicant’s driveway is a dirt road that is in compliance by the City’s standards since it has always existed in its current condition.

Mr. Einerson stated that he was appealing the notice so that he could be allowed to park in his driveway. He submitted pictures of his driveway and the surrounding areas (Exhibit #2).

There was some discussion regarding the circumstances that resulted in the applicant receiving the notice.

Mr. Levy moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Labode.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal granted.

10.

14-4-29

Gilbert R. Cedeno Rivera

1881 North 115th Plaza, Apt. 3811

Omaha, NE 68154

REQUEST: Appeal Police Department Notice of Nuisance

(RE: 1881 North 115th Plaza, Apt. 3811)

Gilbert R. Cedeno Rivera appeared before the Board. Ms. Cedeno Rivera stated that she renewed the plates, but did not register them in Nebraska since she will be returning to Illinois in the near future. She explained that she initially intended to work for Boys Town for one year, ending May 31, 2014. She presented a copy of her vehicle registration to the Board.
Mr. Levy moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Labode.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal granted.

11.

14-4-30

Kirby L. Conover
2373 Longview Loop
Council Bluffs, IA 51503

REQUEST: Appeal denial of reinstatement of Third Grade Stationary Engineer’s License

The applicant did not appear.

Mr. Levy moved to LAYOVER. Second by Mr. Weaver.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Case held over until the May 19, 2014 meeting.

12.

14-4-31

Patrick J. White
2569 Bauman Avenue
Omaha, NE 68112-3313

REQUEST: Appeal denial of reinstatement of Third Grade Stationary Engineer’s License

Patrick J. White appeared before the Board. Thomas Phipps, Chief Mechanical Inspector – Permits & Inspections, stated that the applicant attempted to pay the renewal for his license which was due on March 1, 2014 after that date. He explained that Permits & Inspections cannot renew a license that has expired. Mr. White’s options were to retake the exam for the license or appeal to the Board.

Mr. White explained that he forgot to renew his license for 2014. In response to Mr. Weaver, Mr. Phipps stated that the Finance Department mails notices to all license holders sometime around the Thanksgiving Holiday, and are due December 31st. The ordinance allows for a total of approximately 90 days for license renewals. Mr. Phipps stated that there was no record of Mr. White ever being delinquent in paying for his license in the past.

Mr. Levy moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Labode.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal granted.

13.

14-4-32

David Moss
4512 Saratoga Street
Omaha, NE 68104

REQUEST: Appeal denial of reinstatement of Third Grade Stationary Engineer’s License

David Moss appeared before the Board. Thomas Phipps, Chief Mechanical Inspector – Permits & Inspections, stated that the applicant attempted to pay the renewal for his license which was due on March 1, 2014 after that date. He explained that Permits & Inspections cannot renew a license that has expired.
Mr. Moss explained that he was busy and procrastinated. He stated that he attempted to pay on the due date on Friday, but it was after hours of operation. Mr. Phipps previously explained to the Board that there were no complaints from the general public or employers against Mr. Moss’ certificate.

Mr. Weaver moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Lopez-Nuno.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal granted.

14. 14-4-33

Katie Underwood
Olsson Associates
2111 South 67th Street, Suite 200
Omaha, NE 68106

REQUEST: Appeal Public Works Department denial of driveway permit (RE: Malibu Properties, LLC at 21018 Cumberland Drive)

Ryan Haas, Murthy Koti and Todd Pfitzer, Public Works Department, appeared before the Board with Jeff Lake, Olsson Associates, and Willie Douglas owner of Malibu Properties.

Mr. Haas stated that the site plan submittal showed the proposed driveway did not meet the minimum required distance of 40 feet from the existing driveway.

Mr. Lake referenced the site plan and explained that Mr. Douglas owned and operated the adjacent property and business to the west of the site. He also explained that the property owner to the east of the site agreed to share their existing driveway along the property line with the applicant and that the applicant needed the allowable one shared and one unshared access. He then discussed the issue with placement of the required storm water detention basin and the placement of the proposed unshared driveway. Mr. Lake then explained the proposed use for this site would be light commercial with a need for truck circulation and he then submitted a letter from QC Supply (Exhibit 2) explaining the denial of access to their existing driveway to the west of the site.

In response to Mr. Levy, Mr. Lake explained that no landscape obstruction existed between the proposed driveway and the existing driveway of property to the west. A recently completed traffic study showed that traffic along Cumberland Drive operated at acceptable levels with development to the south. Mr. Douglas explained to Mr. Weaver that Christensen Lumber Company would relocate their sales offices to the proposed building and that trucks would on occasion deliver display materials to this location. In response to Mr. Levy, Mr. Douglas explained the new tenant would have a 5-year lease and that traffic would be light.

In response to Mr. Weaver, Mr. Haas stated that Cumberland Drive functioned more for access purposes than ability. In response to Mr. Weaver, Mr. Lake discussed that the high-generated traffic of the Sempek property to the east would not negatively affect the proposed site. He then discussed the placement of the proposed driveway in respect to truck accessibility, parking stall requirements and placement of the storm water basin.

Mr. Levy moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Weaver.

AYES: Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

NAYS: Labode

Motion carried 4-1. Appeal granted.
Joseph Foley  
Lamp Rynearson & Associates, Inc.  
14710 West Dodge Road, Suite 100  
Omaha, NE 68154

REQUEST: Appeal Public Works Department denial of driveway permit  
(RE: Hy-Vee, 96th & Q Streets)

Mr. Haas submitted a site plan showing crash sites along 96th and Q Streets (Exhibit 2). He explained that the proposed Hy-Vee gas station was a new facility subject to driveway regulations and that the north driveway at Q Street was compliant, but the second driveway at South 96th Street was not compliant in the three site plan scenarios submitted by the applicant.

Mr. Foley mentioned that the main Hy-Vee store adjacent to the site was currently under renovation and that the applicant proposed to build a new facility at the existing, outdated gas station on the corner of 96th and Q Streets. He then explained that the request was to keep both driveway accesses for truck traffic.

Mr. Smith explained that a new facility would be constructed with the same use and that access to the site was a key issue for fuel trucks. He mentioned that site plan revisions were made to accommodate driveway regulations and submitted for review and also noted that they were never informed the intersection in question had safety issues. He mentioned that Hy-Vee owned the subject property and was concerned that losing one access would have a negative impact on business and property value.

Mr. Stein discussed traffic accident data reviewed for the 96th Street access point; he noted that the right-in/right-out access had a median to control traffic and was historically a safe intersection. He also stated that the data should be used as a guideline. Mr. Foley discussed the option of using the full access intersection to the south of the site, which was also the main entrance to the plaza, but noted that a right-in/right-out only with a median was safer than a full-access intersection. He also noted that that truck traffic using the plaza entrance was a safety concern and that a reconfigured South 96th Street access on the site was a safer option. Mr. Stein explained that another option was to shift the driveway 35 feet south of the existing location to meet regulations, but that it encroached onto the adjacent property and required land rights from a third party.

Mr. Levy verified that both driveways were currently right-in/right-out only and that the request was to keep this scenario. Mr. Haas verified that a median extension was required if the driveway was shifted to the south. Mr. Foley responded to the Board that trucks potentially entered the site from the east access on 96th Street and exited at the north access on Q Street.

Mr. Haas stated that the site had one existing internal connection as well as the two existing driveways for truck access and that an internal connection was presented in the three site plan options submitted, as well as at existing Hy-Vee stores (Exhibit 3). He then explained that new construction was an opportunity to increase safety standards with driveway regulations, which decreased economic costs associated with crash sites. He noted that existing sites were not arbitrarily required to conform to new regulations but that each site was evaluated for safety when requests for site changes were submitted. Mr. Koti also explained how reducing the number of access points created less conflict points, which decreased the safety hazards associated with these conflict points. Mr. Pfitzer added that the driveway safety issues were previously discussed with Hy-Vee and that although the property lines created difficulty with access points at this site, safety should not be compromised. Mr. Smith responded that the access of both driveways as they currently existed was important regardless of ownership and that limiting truck traffic through the plaza entrance increased pedestrian safety. Mr. Pfitzer noted that although each Hy-Vee site
was unique, the sites presented in Exhibit 3 did not have direct access onto arterial streets and trucks routed through a heavily used pedestrian area did not impose safety issues for these sites.

In response to Mr. Levy, Mr. Stein responded that gas truck deliveries were approximately every other day, but depended on the volume of business. He also responded that food truck deliveries were approximately twice a week. Mr. Koti explained that high crash intersections were evaluated yearly and that 96th & Q was in the top 100 for high crash sites. He then discussed the measures used to evaluate the safety of intersections, such as traffic signal delays, traffic queues and capacity. Mr. Haas added that the close proximity of the 96th Street driveway to the intersection of 96th and Q Streets impacted the safety of the driveway. Mr. Smith stated again that the use remained the same and that their traffic data showed the intersection was less than average in terms of crashes throughout the city.

Mr. Labode questioned the validity of the site plans showing the crash sites. In response, Mr. Foley confirmed with Public Works that the marks on the Exhibit 2 site plan showed actual crash sites and mentioned that the site plan showed less crash sites at the right-in/right-out driveways than the full-access driveway. Mr. Koti noted that the traffic studies also considered future growth of the area. Mr. Smith discussed how this was a unique situation and asked the Board for a reasonable balance between safety and commerce.

Mr. Levy questioned the safety of delivery trucks forced to make a left turn onto 96th Street. Mr. Pfitzer responded that recent travel patterns showed delivery trucks made a right turn into the site from 96th Street and exited right onto Q Street, and that a left turn at the full access driveway onto 96th Street was not preferred. In response to Mr. Levy, Mr. Stein responded that H-Vee currently had an easement agreement with the adjacent property for access from their site to the internal driveway on the south and that it was not limited to passenger cars.

Mr. Weaver stated that based on traffic projections, safety issues and alternatives available to access the property, he moved to deny.

Mr. Weaver moved to DENY. Second by Mr. Labode.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal denied.

16.
14-4-35
Caleb Snyder
Lamp Rynearson & Associates, Inc.
14710 West Dodge Road, Suite 100
Omaha, NE 68154

REQUEST: Appeal Public Works Department denial of driveway permit (RE: UNMC, 40th & Marcy Streets)

Ryan Haas, Murthy Koti and Todd Pfitzer, Public Works Department, appeared before the Board with John Coolidge, Lamp Rynearson & Associates, Inc. and Brian Akert, Holland Basham Architects, representing University of Nebraska Medical Center (UNMC).

Mr. Coolidge submitted site plans of the project (Exhibit 2). He then explained that the project consisted of four parcels and would be a temporary UNMC parking facility for approximately seven years while the main campus was developed. He mentioned that the Field Club Neighborhood Association was in support of the project and that the applicant would work with the City Parks Department regarding connectivity and amenities from the proposed west parking lot, over the City trail, to the proposed east parking lot. Mr. Coolidge explained that the project would begin with the development of one parcel; an existing entrance on north side, an additional entrance on south side, Mason Street from South 40th Street east to the City trail would eventually be vacated and a future connection made from the neighborhood to
the City trail. He further explained that the connection of the two right-of-ways was temporary for approximately two years until the proposed lots were connected.

Mr. Haas explained that during Phase I, Lots 62 and 63 were non-compliant because they both contained two driveways, but during Phase II, these lots would be compliant and he noted that Mason Street was currently a right-of-way. He then explained that Public Works was in agreement to the future vacation of the right of way and was in support of the waiver necessary to proceed to Phase II, and also in support of Phase II. In response to Mr. Levy, he explained that during Phase II, Lot 64 contained two driveways and would also be non-compliant. Mr. Coolidge confirmed to Mr. Weaver that the current request was for a driveway on Marcy Street to the west of the parking lot and a driveway on Mason Street to the south of the parking lot.

Mr. Labode questioned the time frame from Phase I to Phase II. Mr. Coolidge discussed how the processes of approvals needed for different phases of the project could take months. In response to Mr. Levy, he then explained that when the Final Plat was approved Lot 63 would be in compliance and only one connection would exist. Mr. Weaver questioned the traffic safety along Mason and Marcy Streets with increased use; Mr. Haas responded that the hazard level would increase but that these were low speed local streets used primarily for access.

Mr. Levy moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Weaver.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal granted.

17.
14-4-36
Doug Kellner
Thompson Dreessen & Dorner, Inc.
10836 Old Mill Road
Omaha, NE 68154

REQUEST: Appeal Public Works Department denial of driveway permit (RE: 15808 West Dodge Road)

Ryan Haas, Murthy Koti and Todd Pfitzer, Public Works Department, appeared before the Board with Gary Norton, Thompson Dreessen & Dorner and Mike West, Carlson West Povondra Architects, representing the owner.

Mr. Haas explained that the site was located between Cass Street and the West Dodge Frontage Road just west of 156th Street and that the appeal was for a second driveway.

Mr. Norton explained that the site was narrow from east to west and discussed the issues with the extreme grade drop across the property; the east side is significantly lower than the west side of the property. He further explained that this would be a professional office building and that the adjacent property owner was approached, but was not conducive to the shared use of the driveway. He mentioned that the adjacent properties did not oppose the proposed site having two driveways and discussed the concerns with only one driveway. Mr. Norton noted that both driveways had acceptable visibility and Mr. West explained that the possibility of a driveway across from the site on either side was not possible due to the existence of a park on the north side and the frontage road along the south side.

In response to Mr. Levy, Mr. Murthy explained that traffic along Cass Street was light, but peaked during school hours and that having a relief route along the West Dodge Frontage Road was an opportunity to help relieve traffic. Mr. Haas explained that the adjacent properties had driveways along both Cass Street and the West Dodge Frontage Road; one was in compliance and the other possibly obtained a waiver. In response to Mr. Weaver, Mr. Norton explained that both proposed driveways met distance requirements from adjacent property driveways.
Mr. Weaver moved to APPROVE. Second by Mr. Levy.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Appeal granted.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES:**

Motion by Mr. Weaver to approve the minutes from February 24, 2014. Second by Mr. Lopez-Nuno.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy

ABSTAIN: O’Connor

Motion carried 4-0.

Motion by Mr. Weaver to approve the minutes from March 31, 2014. Second by Mr. Lopez-Nuno.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, O’Connor

ABSTAIN: Levy

Motion carried 4-0.

**ELECTION OF OFFICERS:**

Motion by Mr. Weaver to retain the current officers. Second by Mr. Labode.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0.

**ADJOURN:**

Motion to adjourn by Mr. Weaver. Second by Mr. Labode.

AYES: Labode, Lopez-Nuno, Weaver, Levy, O’Connor

Motion carried 5-0. Meeting adjourned at 3:00 pm.