I. Roll Call

Members Present:
Brian A Barrett
Jeff O. Estabrook, Vice-Chairman
Brian Feyerherm
David W. Miller, Chairman
Christopher P. Reed
Terry Salem

Members Absent:
None

Others Present: Jim Wonder, City of Omaha Planning Department
Tom Breitkreutz, Douglas County Health Department

Mr. Miller called the meeting to order at 9:03 am.

II. Approval of Minutes from July 11th, 2012

Motion by Mr. Estabrook to approve the minutes from the last meeting. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

III. Public Hearing

12-6-67
James Wonder REQUEST: Approval of changes to Section 49-232, Qualifications and
City of Omaha 49-222, Qualifications
1819 Farnam St
Omaha NE 68183 49-232, 222

6/13/2012:
James Wonder appeared before the Board. Mr. Wonder stated that the proposed changes allow the Board to consider more applicants for licensure testing who might not otherwise be able to meet the current code’s requirements. These changes are particularly useful in cases of out-of-jurisdiction plumbers or those apprentices caught in the recent economic difficulties. Mr. Wonder reviewed the proposed changes, listed below:

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Sec. 49-222. Qualifications.
An applicant for a license as a master plumber shall submit evidence of one of the following qualifications:

(a) Have four consecutive years’ experience in the installation of plumbing systems while holding a journeyman plumber's license issued by the City; or

(b) Provide evidence of qualifying as a master plumber in a city of equivalent size that requires similar qualification criteria.
(c) If the community in which the applicant has worked does not require instruction, on the job training or a plumbing license, the plumbing board may accept a minimum of twelve consecutive years of plumbing installation experience with a minimum of 300 hours worked each of the twelve years to accrue a minimum total of 21,000 hours.

(Special consideration will be given when active military service prevents a trainee from fulfilling the "consecutive training" requirements.)

If the applicant has met the above criteria then he/she shall take an exam administered by the plumbing board to determine his/her competency.

Sec. 49-232. Qualifications.
An applicant for a license as a journeyman plumber shall submit evidence of one of the following qualifications:

(a) Complete a four year apprenticeship program certified by the city; or

(b) Provide evidence of completing a course of study with an equivalent number of instructional hours and on-the-job experience hours as required by a certified city program; or

(c) Provide evidence of qualifying as a journeyman plumber in a city of equivalent size that requires similar qualification criteria;

(c) Provide evidence of completing a training period of a minimum of seven consecutive years, where the trainee worked a minimum of 300 hours each of the seven years to accrue a minimum total of 7,000 hours; or

(d) Provide evidence of qualifying as a journeyman plumber in a city of equivalent size that requires similar qualification criteria; or

(e) If the community in which the applicant has worked does not require instruction, on the job training or a plumbing license, the plumbing board may accept a minimum of eight consecutive years of plumbing installation experience with a minimum of 300 hours worked each of the eight years to accrue a minimum total of 14,000 hours.

(Special consideration will be given when active military service prevents a trainee from fulfilling the "consecutive training" requirements.)

(c) Provide evidence of qualifying as a journeyman plumber in a city of equivalent size that requires similar qualification criteria.

If the applicant has met one of the above criteria, he/she may take an exam administered by the plumbing board to determine his/her competency.

Mr. Wonder stated that, as the Board had requested, he added language specifying that the Board will give special consideration in cases where active military service interferes with the applicant’s ability to complete the consecutive training requirements listed in Plumbing Code. He also added language to both code sections stating that the Board may give special consideration in cases of illness, disability or other medically-related conditions.
Mr. Wonder stated that a question had been raised at the last meeting of the Code Committee regarding section 49-222(a): “…(a) Have four consecutive years' experience...”. Mr. Wonder stated that one of the committee members was concerned that an applicant may obtain his journeyman license, leave the field for other types of work, and then attempt to register for the master plumber’s examination after years of being out of the field. Mr. Wonder stated that according to his interpretation of the Plumbing Code, such an applicant could potentially be approved to take the master plumber examination, despite the possibility that he had not been operating in the plumbing field for quite some time. Mr. Wonder stated that this committee member is currently working on additional language dealing with this issue; however, Mr. Wonder did not receive this drafted language before the committee member went on vacation.

Mr. Killian asked whether this theoretical applicant would still have been required to pay for his license and maintain his continuing education during his absence from the plumbing field. Mr. Wonder replied that this was a possibility, but not a requirement. Mr. Reed stated that he could see this issue coming up in the future. Mr. Killian stated that in his opinion, this language should be changed in such a way that this type of applicant would be required to maintain his license during his absence from plumbing. Mr. Estabrook countered that this section should be changed to require the applicant have worked in the plumbing field in the years immediately preceding their application for licensure. Mr. Salem and Mr. Miller cautioned Mr. Wonder that the language in this section needed to be right in order to eliminate any confusion.

Mr. Wonder suggested that the Board could choose to lay this case over. Doing so would allow more time for the language in section 49-222(a) to be modified. After some discussion, the Board agreed, and asked Mr. Wonder to coordinate this modification with the Code Committee members. This item will be up for further discussion at the next meeting of the Board on June 27th, 2012.

Mr. Miller asked if there were any members of the public at today’s meeting who wished to speak in regards to the proposed code changes. Pat Leddy and Arrick Jazynka of Plumber’s Local 16 appeared before the Board with Mike Dowd, legal representation for the union. Mr. Dowd raised objections to the proposed changes to the code, asserting that the proposed code language could potentially harm those apprentices who were caught up in the recent economic difficulties. He said that there have been several examples of apprentices who, due to the economy, were unable to make the yearly on-the-job training requirements. Mr. Dowd stated that if the Board considered the total number of hours that the apprentice had completed, rather than requiring a set number of hours each year, they would see that many of these people’s total hours over a five year period exceed the sum of the yearly requirement currently listed in the Code.

Mr. Dowd presented what he termed as a “sunset provision” (see file for more information). This document would apply only to those individuals affected by the economic recession of 2008 through 2010. Mr. Dowd stated that if the Board chose to adopt this sunset provision, there would be no need to make amendments to the Plumbing Code. He discussed a particular example in which a Local 16 apprentice had an average of on-the-job hours for the first three years of his apprenticeship, but had a shortage of hours due to the economy during his fourth year. Mr. Dowd asserted that if the Board chose to adopt his proposed sunset provision, it would allow this applicant to meet the requirements for testing.

Mr. Killian stated that part of the hour requirements for apprentice plumbers is the experience that they gain in the trade. He argued that just because an apprentice worked more hours in one year doesn’t mean that he has more experience of the various situations encountered in the plumbing trade. Mr. Killian asserted that in addition to the experience gained through on-the-job training, unwritten concepts such as age, maturity, proper decision making and exposure must also be a consideration. He added that no matter what field one studies in, there are minimum requirements. Accelerating through a program does not necessarily mean that you are more experienced than someone who has completed a training program in the traditional manner. Mr. Killian stated that he would not support Mr. Dowd’s sunset provision, as it is a temporary fix, and would pave the way for further requests for sunset provisions in the future. The proposed Code changes would be permanent and would still help those apprentices affected by the economy, without singling out one group over all those plumbers currently operating in Omaha who were also affected by the economic crunch.

Mr. Dowd countered that his sunset provision applies to a small, finite number of people. He claimed that these apprentices should not be punished for a situation beyond their control. Mr. Killian countered by saying that the Plumbing Board cannot fix the past economy. Mr. Reed said that if the Board approved Mr. Dowd’s sunset provision, they could then be confronted with applicants who had worked a considerable amount of overtime and managed to complete their hours in two years. Mr. Wonder pointed out that the code changes being discussed here today were proposed with those affected by the recession in mind; however, the Board also wishes to amend the code in order to supply clearer equivalency guidelines for out-of-jurisdiction plumbers. Even if the Board chose to adopt Mr. Dowd’s proposed sunset provision, there would still be an issue regarding the clarification of requirements for out-of-jurisdiction plumbers that the Board wished to address.
Mr. Dowd protested that the proposed changes could potentially mean that a person, short on hours due to a situation beyond their control, would have to work for over seven years as an apprentice in order to meet qualifications for testing. Mr. Killian restated his opinion that the Board cannot control the economy. Mr. Wonder stated that Mr. Dowd was misinterpreting the code changes. After some additional discussion regarding the interpretation of the proposed language in section 49-222, Mr. Wonder asked Mr. Dowd whether he would be willing to draft alternate language for this section. Mr. Dowd stated that he would be happy to email this draft to Mr. Wonder.

Motion to hold this case over to the June 27th, 2012 meeting by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Estabrook, Killian, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 5-0

6/27/2012:
Joseph Virgil, City of Omaha plumbing inspector, appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller stated that Mr. Wonder was not able to be present at today’s meeting and is still awaiting the modified language discussed at earlier meetings. Mr. Miller recommended that the Board hold this hearing over to their next meeting, scheduled for July 11, 2012.

Motion to hold this public hearing over to the July 11, 2012 meeting of the Board by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Reed.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Miller, Reed

ABSTAIN: Feyerherm

Motion carried 4-0-1

7/11/2012:
James Wonder appeared before the Board. Mr. Wonder apologized for being unprepared for today’s hearing. He explained that he has been overly busy since his return from vacation; he added that he has received alternative language for the code sections currently under review. Mr. Wonder asked that the Board lay this case over to their next meeting in order to allow him time to prepare this alternative language for their review.

Motion to hold this case over to the July 25th meeting by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

7/25/2012:
James Wonder appeared before the Board. Mr. Wonder stated that he had emailed an updated draft of the proposed changes to the Board members earlier in the week; he added that some further corrections had been made to the proposed language, which had been given to the Board members today at the beginning of the meeting.

Mr. Wonder explained that there had been some concern over the use of the word “consecutive” in referring to the span of time in which an applicant must work in order to be eligible to successfully apply for examination. Mr. Wonder cited possible hypothetical circumstances where allowing the word “consecutive” to remain in the proposed sections currently under review could make it difficult for an applicant to meet the Code’s qualifications. He reminded the Board that the code changes up for discussion today were suggested as a way to try to assist those plumbers who were caught in the recent economic downturn, and to provide clearer information as to what qualifications out-of-jurisdiction plumbers must have in order to be allowed to sit for the Omaha examination.
Next, the Board compared the language in sections 49-232(c) and 49-232(e). Mr. Wonder asked the Board members whether they were satisfied with the language in these sections; or if they felt that additional changes needed to be made. Mr. Estabrook commented that he thought that the language in these sections should be tougher. Mr. Barrett voiced a concern over the possibility that instruction received in other jurisdictions may be equivalent in hours, but not in quality. Mr. Miller queried whether the Board had the jurisdiction to expand this section to delineate what types of subjects would be considered acceptable when considering the education of out-of-jurisdiction applicants. Mr. Reed commented that Mr. Miller’s idea, though admirable, was not feasible.

After some discussion, the Board came to a general consensus that they did not wish to omit the word “consecutive” from subsection “A” of 49-222 and 49-232. Most of the members also agreed that they were satisfied with the language proposed in the draft that they received at the beginning of today’s meeting.

Motion by Mr. Estabrook to approve the proposed code changes to section 49-222 and 49-232 as submitted with no changes. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

NAYS: Barrett

Motion carried 5-1

IV. *Approval of Apprenticeship Program

12-7-84
Robert McCart
Plumbers Local 16
4801 F St
Omaha NE 68117-1406

REQUEST: Approval of apprenticeship program

Robert McCart of Plumbers Local Union 16 appeared before the Board. Mr. Wonder stated that this was a unique situation in that Plumbers Local 16 has had apprentices for many years, and are an approved apprenticeship school. Recent code changes require all plumbing companies to renew their apprenticeship programs with the Board. Mr. Wonder explained that Plumbers Local 16 is working to draft a document for the Board; however, this document is not yet complete. He added that the Union has recently taken on a new class of apprentices and would like to seek provisional approval from the Board so that their new apprentices may receive start dates today. Mr. McCart stated that his organization could have a finalized copy of the necessary paperwork by the next board meeting.

Motion by Mr. Estabrook to approve Plumbers Local 16 for a temporary apprenticeship program, based on documentation currently on file with the Department of Labor. This case will be held over to the August 8th, 2012 meeting. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0
V.  *Renewal of Approval Apprenticeship Program*

12-7-85
Duane Bradley  
Request: Renewal of approval of apprenticeship program.
Bradley Brothers Plumbing  
1313 S 95th St  
Omaha NE 68124  
49-244

Duane Bradley appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

VI.  Apprenticeship Agreements

Benjamin A Bradley  
3939 Arbor St  
Omaha NE 68105  
Bradley Bros Plumbing  
1313 S 95th St  
Omaha NE 68124

Benjamin Bradley appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application. Mr. Bradley requested a start date of July 1, 2012.

Motion to approve with a start date of July 1, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Mathew J Dragon  
5425 P Street  
Omaha NE 68117  
Plumbers Local 16  
4801 F St  
Omaha NE 68117-1406

Mathew J Dragon appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve with a start date of June 25, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0
Adelene M Finney appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve with a start date of June 25, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Andrew J Harford appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve with a start date of June 25, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Paul D Harney appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve with a start date of June 25, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Christopher G Lewis appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve with a start date of June 25, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0
Mr. Ludemann was not present.

Motion to hold this case over to the August 8, 2012 meeting of the Board by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Paul D May appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve with a start date of June 25, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Robert T Sims appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve with a start date of June 25, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Kyle L Smith appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve with a start date of June 25, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0
Thomas E Weeks appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve with a start date of June 25, 2012 by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

VII. *Cases

12-6-83 From 7/11/2012
Jeff Chapman
Kinder Morgan Inc
6801 N 9th St
Omaha NE 68112

REQUEST: Waiver of various sections of Chapter 49, as regards temporary office trailers.

Location: 6801 N 9th Street
49-Various

7/11/2012:
Mr. Chapman was not present.

Motion to hold this case over to the July 25th meeting by Mr. Reed. Second by Mr. Estabrook.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

7/25/2012:
Mr. Chapman was not present.

Motion to place this case on file by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0
REQUEST: Waiver to allow the installation of a private well for geothermal use.

Location: 7727 State St
49-1510

Bill Christopherson appeared before the Board to request a waiver allowing the installation of a private well for geothermal use only. Mr. Miller read from the case file that neither the Metropolitan Utilities District nor the Douglas County Health Department had any objection to this request.

Mr. Wonder asked Mr. Christopherson whether he was intending to install a pump-and-dump system or a closed-loop system. Mr. Christopherson replied that he intends to install is a stacked-column well. This type of system is unique in that it pulls water from the lower level of the well, runs the water through the unit, and dumps the water back in the same well at the top of the shaft. By the time the water at the top of the well has reached the bottom of the shaft, it is cool again. He presented a drawing of a similar type of well to Mr. Beitkreutz for his review, commenting that he also intends to completely encase the shaft, which the drawing did not show.

Mr. Wonder stated that the Plumbing Division had no objection to the waiver; however, he asked that the Board require Mr. Christopherson to install a double-walled heat exchanger so as to further separate the well water from the freon in the heat exchanger.

Motion by Mr. Reed to approve the installation of a private well for geothermal use at 7727 State Street as described, with the stipulation that a double-walled heat exchanger must be installed at this location in order to separate the well water from the refrigerant in the system. Second by Mr. Estabrook.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

REQUEST: Variance of section 49-630, toilet rooms for two sexes and 49-631, unisex toilet rooms. Variance of section 49-1104, required grease interceptor in a commercial kitchen.

Location: 16722 Harrison Street
49-630, 631, 1104

Mr. Yuhasz was not present.

Motion to hold this case over to the August 8, 2012 meeting of the Board by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0
Dennis Basich, mechanical engineer with Farris Engineering, appeared before the Board. Mr. Wonder explained that the Plumbing Code lists three materials that may be used for shower pans. Mr. Basich intends to use a shower pan that is not listed in the Code as an acceptable material. Mr. Wonder asked Mr. Basich whether he had brought samples of the product for the Board’s review. Mr. Basich stated that he had not brought a sample with him. Mr. Wonder explained the product’s manufacture and installation, commenting that the product is scheduled to be installed at Immanuel Hospital’s new Inpatient Rehabilitation facility. This facility will have 32 rooms carrying this type of shower. Mr. Wonder commented that this type of product will most likely be included in upcoming code changes; however, the applicant would still need to obtain a variance from the Board today in order to install them.

Mr. Barrett inquired as to why Mr. Basich wished to use this product instead of one currently allowed by Code. Mr. Basich replied that their architect likes this product as their lineal drain design creates a more convenient slope, eliminating the need for multiple slopes within the shower. This creates easier access for patients in wheelchairs, in addition to being an attractive looking product.

Mr. Wonder discussed lineal drains in general, adding that they have been used in several other types of applications within the City. He commented that he would like to see lineal drains applied to this project, as it could serve as a test case for future applications. He assured the Board that he had seen the product in question; and although he was disappointed that Mr. Basich had not brought a sample of the product with him, he was convinced that you would have to destroy the product in order to separate the drain from the liner after it had been joined. Mr. Barrett commented that it appeared to be a nice-looking product. Mr. Salem commented that he did not feel comfortable with the idea of approving this product for so many rooms without having seen it. He also expressed some concern in regards to the type of glue to be used during installation.

After some discussion, Mr. Reed made a motion to grant the requested variance for use of alternate shower liner and drain materials at 6901 N 72nd Street. This location will serve as a test case; today’s approval is not intended to set a precedent for this product’s application in other locations. This approval is for this location only, and Mr. Basich is required to appear before the Board again at their August 8, 2012 meeting with a sample of the material for the Board’s review. Second by Mr. Estabrook.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed

NAYS: Salem

Motion carried 5-1
VIII. *Journeyman Plumber Examination Applications*

Aaron J Grell  
924 Redick Bd  
Carter Lake IA 51510

Vincentini Plumbing  
2308 S 16th St  
Omaha NE 68108

Aaron Grell appeared before the Board. Mr. Wonder stated that in reviewing Mr. Grell’s application file, he was unable to reconcile Mr. Grell’s on-the-job hours. Mr. Wonder explained that the submitted information showed that the total number of work hours appeared to be sufficient; however, he was unable to separate these hours in such a way as would meet the 1,600 hours per year requirement for apprentice plumbers.

After some discussion regarding Mr. Grell’s work history and previous employers, Mr. Wonder suggested that the Board may wish to provisionally approve Mr. Grell to take the Journeyman Plumber examination in August. This would allow time for Mr. Grell to produce additional documentation regarding his work hours, and would also allow Mr. Wonder the opportunity to contact Mr. Grell’s previous employers to assist Mr. Grell in obtaining the necessary documentation.

Motion by Mr. Estabrook to approve, with the stipulation that Mr. Grell provide additional documentation to the Plumbing Division proving that he has completed the requisite number of on-the-job hours for examination. This documentation must be received and approved by Mr. Wonder before Mr. Grell may sit for the journeyman plumber exam. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Vincent L Lohmeier  
2020 N 92nd Av  
Omaha NE 68134

Simet Plumbing  
4424 N 20th St  
Omaha NE 68110

Vincent Lohmeier appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Joseph J Rempe  
2925 S 113th St  
Omaha NE 68144

Micro Plumbing  
8988 J St  
Omaha NE 68124

Joseph J Rempe appeared before the Board. Mr. Wonder stated that in reviewing Mr. Rempe’s application, he was unable to confirm that Mr. Rempe had completed the required number of on-the-job training hours. Mr. Miller and Mr. Estabrook reviewed the file further. It was determined that Mr. Rempe was missing training hours.

Motion to deny by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0
Chance M Rohlfing
From 4/25/2012
6919 Florence Bd
Omaha NE 68112

Chance Rohlfing appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

Kyle J Simon
8514 Underwood Av
Omaha NE 68114

Kyle Simon appeared before the Board. Mr. Miller reviewed the file and commented that all appeared to be in order with the application.

Motion to approve by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

William A Welch
1901 SW 9th St
Lincoln NE 68522

Mr. Welch was not present.

Motion to hold this case over to the October 24, 2012 meeting of the Board by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0
I. *Master Plumber Examination Applications*

Matthew C Rennecker *From 4/25/2012*  
9612 Emmet St  
Omaha NE 68134  
Plumbing & Electrical Contractors Inc  
PO Box 12414  
Omaha NE 68112-0414

4/25/2012:  
Matthew C Rennecker was not present.  
Motion to hold this case over to the July 25th meeting of the Board by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.  
AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Killian, Miller, Reed, Salem  
Motion carried 6-0.

7/25/2012:  
Mr. Rennecker was not present.  
Motion to place this case on file by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.  
AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem  
Motion carried 6-0.

James W Shymkwich *From 4/25/2012*  
6356 S 139th Cir  
Omaha NE 68137  
Jimmy’s Plumbing Inc  
17609 Storage Rd Suite 1  
Omaha NE 68136

4/25/2012:  
James W Shymkwich was not present.  
Motion to hold this case over to the July 25th meeting of the Board by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.  
AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Killian, Miller, Reed, Salem  
Motion carried 6-0.

7/25/2012:  
Mr. Shymkwich was not present.  
Motion to place this case on file by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.  
AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem  
Motion carried 6-0.
II.  *Lawn Sprinkler Contractor Examinations*

Robert L Skradski
7516 S 103rd Av  
Omaha NE 68128

Mr. Skradski was not present.

Motion to hold this case over to the October 24, 2012 meeting of the Board by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

III.  Sewer Layer Examinations

Nicholas Johnston  
9406 Fowler Av  
Omaha NE 68134

Terry Nelson  
1619 Eagle Dr  
Yutan NE 68073

Cedar Construction
13901 L Street  
Omaha NE 68137

Motion to approve the above-listed sewer layer exam applicants by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0
IX. **Approval of Apprenticeship Renewals**

Casey S Bonham Plumbers Local 16 Local 16
Mike Livingston Thomas Plumbing Service Inc Completed

Motion to approve the above-listed apprentice renewals by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0

X. **Discussion**

XI. **Adjourn**

Motion to adjourn by Mr. Estabrook. Second by Mr. Salem.

AYES: Barrett, Estabrook, Feyerherm, Miller, Reed, Salem

Motion carried 6-0. Meeting adjourned at 10:32 am.

* = APPLICANT MUST BE PRESENT AT MEETING

A full, continually current agenda is available for public inspection in Room 1110 of the Omaha/Douglas Civic Center during normal business hours.

The Omaha Plumbing Board reserves the right to modify the agenda at the public meeting.

If alternative (tape) to the agenda is needed, please advise Jim Wonder. A 72-hour notice is required.

Visit the Planning Department’s Site on the Internet at [http://co.douglas.ne.us/omaha/planning/boards/plumbing-board](http://co.douglas.ne.us/omaha/planning/boards/plumbing-board)